

781 - 2013-03-28

Note Title

2013-03-28

Schöenly ex. 56

Show that  $F = (\exists x (P(x) \rightarrow P(y)))$  is equivalent to  
 $G = \forall x (P(x) \rightarrow P(y))$ .

→ is\_mother(x)  $\Leftrightarrow$  mother(x, y)

for all x, x is a mother if there exists someone<sup>(y)</sup> that x is

for all x, x is a mother if for some y, x is the  
mother of y.

↳ for all  $x$  and all  $y$ , if  $x$  is a mother of  $y$ , then  
 $x$  is a mother.

Let  $\mathcal{A} = (U_{\mathcal{A}}, I_{\mathcal{A}})$  be a structure (interpretation) suitable  
for  $F$  and  $G$ . Then, we have:

$$\mathcal{A}(\exists x P(x) \rightarrow P(y)) = 1$$

iff  $\mathcal{A}(\sim \exists x P(x) \vee P(y)) = 1$  (defn. of implication)

iff either  $\mathcal{A}(\sim \exists x P(x)) = 1$  or  $\mathcal{A}(P(y)) = 1$  (4 on p. 47)

iff either  $\mathcal{Q}(\forall x \neg P(x)) = 1$  or  $\mathcal{Q}(P(y)) = 1$  (1b on p. 52).

iff  $\mathcal{Q}(\forall x (\neg P(x) \vee P(y))) = 1$  (2b, with  $F = \neg P(x)$  and  $G = P(y)$ )

iff  $\mathcal{Q}(\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow P(y))) = 1$  (defn of implication)

(One could avoid using the normal form theorem, 1b 2b, and instead directly use the semantics of predicate calculus, with a few extra steps).

## Exercise 57 (Schönbly)

Prove that  $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$  is a consequence of  $\exists u \forall v P(v, u)$ ,  
but not vice versa.

For the "not vice versa" part, here is a model <sup>(a)</sup> of  
 $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$  that is not a model of  $\exists u \forall v P(v, u)$ ,

$$U_a = \{a, b\} \quad P^a = \{(a, b), (b, a)\}$$

(i)  $\mathcal{A}(\forall x \exists y P(x, y)) = 1$  holds iff for each  $u \in U_a$ ,

there is a  $v \in U_a$ , s.t.  $P^a(u, v)$ .

(2) Moreover,  $\mathcal{Q}(\exists y \forall x P(x, y)) = 1$  iff there is a  $v \in U_a$ ,  
s.t. for all  $u \in U_a$ ,  $P^a(u, v)$  holds.

Statement (1) clearly follows from (2).

Exercise 59 (Schöenly). Find an equivalent rectified  
formula for  $F = \forall x \exists y P(x, f(y)) \wedge \forall y (Q(x, y) \vee R(x))$   
 $\wedge \forall z (Q(x, z) \vee R(x))$

$$\forall u \exists w P(u, f(w)) \wedge \forall z (Q(x, z) \vee R(x))$$

$$\forall u \exists w P(u, f(w)) \wedge (\forall z Q(x, z) \vee R(x))$$

$$\forall u \exists w (P(u, f(w)) \wedge \forall z Q(x, z)) \vee$$

$$\forall u \exists w (P(u, f(w)) \wedge R(x))$$

more work to do each

a premier (and rectified work)