

Example with Defn. 1.3 (Conditional independence for variables)

$$P(A|C, B) = P(A|B) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} A \text{ is independent of } C \text{ given } B$$

$$\stackrel{\Delta}{=} A \perp\!\!\!\perp C | B \quad (\text{Kjærulff \& Madsen notation})$$

Independence is symmetric ($A \perp\!\!\!\perp C | B$ iff $C \perp\!\!\!\perp A | B$)

defn of cond Prop $A \perp\!\!\!\perp C | B$

$$P(A|C, B) \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} \frac{P(A, C, B)}{P(C, B)} \stackrel{\downarrow}{=} \frac{P(A, B)}{P(B)}$$

$$P(A|C, B) = P(A|B) \text{ iff } P(C|A, B) = P(C|B)$$

$$P(C|A, B) = \frac{P(A, B, C)}{P(A, B)} = \frac{P(C, B)}{P(B)} \quad (2)$$

$$P(A, c, B) = \frac{P(A, B)}{P(B)} \times P(c, B) = \frac{P(A, B)}{P(B)} \times \frac{P(A, B, c)}{P(A, B)} \times P(B) \quad \checkmark$$

I used (2) to rewrite $P(c, B)$

(May need to deal with possible division by zero.)

With variables, we define indep. of A and B given C as:

$$P(a_i | c_k, b_j) = P(a_i | b_j) \quad \text{for each } a_i \in \text{sp}(A), b_j \in \text{sp}(B), c_k \in \text{sp}(C)$$

The example on p. 11 uses Table 1.2 on p. 8

$$\begin{array}{c} b_1 \quad b_2 \quad b_3 \\ a_1 \quad \begin{bmatrix} .16 & .12 & .12 \\ .24 & .28 & .08 \end{bmatrix} \end{array} = P(A, B) \quad P(B) = (.4, .4, .2) \quad (\text{p. 9})$$

From $P(A, B)$, you can get $P(A|B)$: Table 1.1 $\frac{P(A, B)}{P(B)}$

	b_1	b_2	b_3
a_1	.4	.3	.6
a_2	.6	.7	.4

Always place the states (values) of the conditioning variable (here B) as column labels.

In this way, the numbers in each column sum to 1.
(Also, this is the way Hugin displays probability tables.)

$P(A|C, B)$ is not given. We could obtain it

from $P(A, C|B)$ (table 1.6). Multiply that

and obtain $P(A, B, C)$. Obtain $P(B, C) = \sum_A P(A, B, C)$

(i.e., marginalizing A out of $P(A, B, C)$),

Obtain $P(A|B, C) = \frac{P(A, B, C)}{P(B, C)}$.

Check that every entry in $P(A, B, C)$ where A and B have the same value is the same (does not depend on C).

Evidence (simple case) is a statement that a variable is on a particular state

Conditioning on evidence is most easily done by replacing the entries in a prob. table for variable state, different from the evidence state with ϕ and then normalizing.

Ex,

	b_1	b_2	b_3
a_1	0.16	0.12	0.12
a_2	0.24	0.28	0.08

$= P(A, B)$

Enter the evidence
 $e = (b = b_2)$

$$P(A|B=b_2) = P(A, B, e) =$$

	b_1	b_2	b_3
a_1	0	0.12	0
a_2	0	0.28	0

$\Rightarrow$

$$\frac{.12}{.4} = .12 \times 2.5 = (.30)$$

$$\frac{.28}{.4} = .28 \times 2.5 = (.70)$$

$$= P(A|B=b_2) = \frac{P(A,B)}{P(B=b_2)} = \frac{\begin{array}{|c|} \hline \begin{array}{ccc} 0.16 & .12 & .12 \\ 1.24 & .28 & .08 \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}}{\text{in the } b_2 \text{ place}} = \begin{pmatrix} .3 \\ .7 \end{pmatrix}$$

Distributive Law for potentials,

$$\text{if } \text{dom}(\varphi_i) \subseteq V, \text{ then } (\varphi_1 \varphi_2)^{\upharpoonright V} = \varphi_1(\varphi_2^{\upharpoonright V})$$

Section 1.5 Random variables

A random variable is neither!

Please study Ch. 1.

Next time, Ch. 2!