Recall the (informal) definition of logical entailment:
A collection of sentences $S_1, S_2, ..., S_n$ logically entails another sentence $S$ if the truth of $S$ is implicit in the truth of the $S_i$ sentences. Therefore, the meaning of the terms in the $S_i$ sentences do not matter in determining whether $S$ is logically entailed by $S_1, S_2, ..., S_n$.

Consider the following knowledge base (KB), written as a Prolog program.

```prolog
dog(X) :- poodle(X).
dog(X) :- collie(X).
poodle(X) :- poodle(X).
collie(fido).
```

Recall that Prolog uses back-chaining in answering queries.

1. The KB above logically entails $\text{dog}(fido)$. True or false? **Answer:** True.
2. The query $\text{dog}(fido)$ will not succeed. True or false? **Answer:** True.
3. Back-chaining is complete on Prolog KBs. True or false? **Answer:** False.