How is the Web like a robot?

A. Well, the Web is not yet very robotic, but it is becoming more so, and its progression along this path provides a guide to its evolution.

Let's first think about the Web in robotic terms. A common, generic, cognitive architecture for a robot's behavior is PRA: perceive, reason, act (see Figure 1). A robot with a PRA architecture would use its sensors to perceive its environment – which is typically physical but could include online information – reason about its perceptions by applying whatever knowledge it's received or learned, and then decide to take an action. This PRA sequence then iterates indefinitely. The Web is on its way to encompassing this cognitive architecture, and the result will be a far more useful Web.

Web Evolution

The initial Web was little more than a database of documents that users could query. It was essentially passive, in that it could neither perceive nor reason nor act (see Figure 2). Human users perceived the Web, thought about it, and acted to update it.

Spiders, crawlers, and search histories, along with the indexes they produce, gave the Web a reasoning capability: it could infer pages' semantics and relative importance, as well as which pages were like others. The spiders themselves were similar to robots: they could perceive a page, reason about its content, and act to update search engine indexes. The Web as a whole could reason about its own contents, although the only action it could take was to update those contents. Still, this made the Web active.

The Web is now poised to ascend to the next step in increasing its utility as a societal assistant, acquiring more PRA capabilities characteristic of a robot (see Figure 3). Let's look at how these capabilities might transpire in the future Web.

Perceiving

How well does the Internet perceive the world — both physical and informational — in which it resides? The Web can perceive the contents of databases and document stores very well. Its spiders crawl billions of websites, pages, and data stores periodically, after which it makes available searchable indexes of everything it finds. But it currently does a poor job of perceiving the physical world. You can search for the information your organization has stored on its Web servers, but not information about the buildings where the Web servers are located.

The Internet of Things (IoT) is changing this. Predictions for the IoT are that a significant fraction of real-world objects will be connected to the Internet, with the ability to make their state accessible. Buildings, their contents, trees, automobiles, highways — all will be accessible, along with the interpretation of their state. Initially, a variety of sensors will connect and stream their state to the Web. Eventually, the Web will be able to query these sensors to seek the information it needs to respond to user requests. Such active sensing is a simple form of action that the Web can take, and the result will be increased or improved content.

The goal is to enable anyone, anywhere to perceive the state of the world to an appropriate level of granularity (with permission). The problem is to make sense out of billions of percepts.

Reasoning

The Internet has a lot of knowledge, information, and data. It iterates in that spiders/crawlers continually locate new or changed pages, and users conduct searches, both of which help improve
Figure 1. A common architecture for robot behavior. A robot with this PRA architecture would use its sensors to perceive its environment, reason about its perceptions, and then decide on an action.

Figure 2. The passive Web. In this initial incarnation, human users perceived the Web (typically by searching), thought about it, and acted to update it.

Figure 3. Active Web. Using sensors and effectors, the Internet of Things is changing the Web, enabling it to sense its physical environment.

...
is an important inferencing mechanism that the Web will soon have, thus enhancing its reasoning power. The goal is to enable the Web to take better advantage of the information and data it has available.

**Acting**

The Web can take very few actions. Most of them involve improving both its contents and, to a greater extent, the metadata describing those contents. It can also engage in actions involving forms of inaction or the transfer of incorrect information.

In the same vein, viruses and other malware perform a type of action, albeit in the form of corrupting information or preventing access to it during denial-of-service attacks.

The largest future change to the Web will be its connection to various actuators and effectors. The Web will be able to not only perceive temperatures, but also change them. It will be able to sense the power needs in homes, businesses, and devices, and control smart grids to move the right amount of power to the right location.

The goal is to enable anyone, anywhere to take appropriate action in the world with a sufficient level of precision (with permission). The problem is to ensure that those actions’ results are observable, controllable, and stable.

When actuators connected to a smart grid alter the distribution of electric power, will oscillations or other instabilities arise that damage the grid or the devices connected to it? Will the Web’s sensors let it perceive the Web’s state (its observability) with sufficient accuracy for taking the correct actions?

**Managing Web Knowledge**

To manage all possible actions that the Web might take will require that it organize its knowledge into a form that’s amenable for deciding which actions are most appropriate for a given perceived state of the world.

Perceiving, reasoning, and acting involve different kinds of knowledge, so it would be useful for Web knowledge to be partitioned in ways that facilitate these three features. We can identify three dimensions of Web knowledge: type, use, and derivation, as Figure 4 shows.

**Knowledge Derivation**

All large data and information networks, no matter how well they are indexed and reverse-indexed, fail to make most of their knowledge explicit. Even if a network indexes $n$ items, implicit knowledge exists in the relationships among the $n$ items, and the number of relationships is potentially of order $2^n$. For example, Google searches will return explicit information on the payloads of both the Chinese Long March rocket and the Indian GSLV, but no search will provide an answer as to which has greater lifting capability or how much greater one is (that is, the relationships between the two payloads). Further evolution toward a robotic Web will require progress in its ability to derive explicit knowledge.

**Knowledge Types**

Three kinds of knowledge are available on the Web: know-what, passive know-how, and active know-how. Consider the following example: If you search for “balance checkbook,” you will find pointers to sites that define a balanced checkbook, but don’t describe how to balance one; sites that describe how to balance one; and sites that ask for the amounts of checks and actively perform the balancing (as a service).

**Intended Use for Knowledge**

The information on the Web is primarily intended for human use. The Semantic Web, by associating ontologies with webpages, provides information for machine use.

A goal for the Web as a whole is to uncover implicit, passive knowledge and make it explicit and active, for both human and machine use. A robotic Web would dramatically affect our everyday lives and activities; would grow into a “natural” extension of our capabilities, both physical and mental; and would even help guard against malware by making the Web more difficult to fool. I look forward to the Web of actions incorporating actuators, controllers,
manipulators, effectors, and robots, and in the process transforming itself as a whole into a robotic entity.
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