

Finishing Syntax-directed
definitions & translation

Intermediate actions in bison

Top-down parsing

Project description

SDD (most general form)

Given a grammar G

Associate with each
production a set of rules
of the form

$$b := f(a_1, \dots, a_k)$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_k$ and b
are attributes of symbols
in the production.

f is some function that
computes b in terms of
the values of $a_1, \dots, a_k$.

We say attribute b
depends on $a_1, \dots, a_k$ (individually)

G is an annotated grammar
(an SDD)

[k could be $\emptyset$:

rule looks like

$$b := c$$

where c is some constant expr
(b depends on no attributes)

Terminal symbols can also
have attributes. These may
be provided by the lexical
analyser (synthesised) or
inherited, depending on
other attrs in the production.

On some parsable input w
Consider the ^{complete} parse tree
 T yielding w .

Each node of T has
some number of attributes
associated with it.

Rules determine ^{local} dependencies
between attributes
(local: between parent/child
or siblings in T)

Determine order of
attr computation by

dependency constraint:

For every attr b in T ,
all attrs that b depends on
must be computed before b .

Directed graph (dependency
with vertices ^{graph})

being all attrs of all nodes
of T , and
edges are direct dependencies

i.e., $u \rightarrow v$

means " v depends directly
on u "

dependency graph must be
acyclic (i.e., a dag)

(only constraint)

If dag, then topologically
sort it. Arranges
vertices in order

$$v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$$

so that if $v_i \rightarrow v_j$ is
any edge, then $i < j$.

Compute attrs in order by
increasing i :

v_1 first

v_2

v_3

$\vdots$

Usually not done in practice
because it requires the entire
input to be parsed before
any attrs are computed.

Restricted SDDs:

1. S-attributed definition
2. L-attributed definition

S-attributed means synthesized attributes only.

Advantage: attr's can be computed very promptly, as soon as a node's subtree is parsed.
Also, you can "forget" all attr's of nodes below the root of any subtree, once the root's attr's are computed.
This is what bison/yacc is designed for.

Disadvantage: can't have inherited attr's.

Recall:

$D \rightarrow T L$ L.type = 10
 $T \rightarrow \text{int} \mid \text{real}$ id.type = 10
 $L \rightarrow \text{id}$ L.type = 10
 $L \rightarrow L, 'id'$ L.type = 10, L.type = 10



2. L-attributed definition, more general than an S-attributed def.

- all synthesized attributes are still allowed
 - inherited attr's are allowed provided they can be computed promptly based on a left-to-right reading of the input.
- In other words:
all arrows in the dependency graph go either up or to the right.

In an L-attributed def, each attribute can depend on the following only:

- a synthesized attr of a child
- any attr of a left sibling
- any attr of the parent that does not depend on a right sibling - or the node itself



Intermediate actions in bison

session : { print("done"), }

expulist

;

expulist:
expulist expr
| /* empty deriv. */

Generally:

head: intermediate actions
 $\{ a_0 \} \{ a_1 \} \{ a_2 \} \{ a_3 \}$ (reduces)

bison converts each intermediate action into a reduce action as follows: converts to

head:
 $a_0 \ S_1 \ a_1 \ S_2 \ a_2 \ S_3$ (reduces)

where a_0, a_1, a_2 are now nonterminals created by bison
 bison adds the following production

$a_0 : \{ a_0 \}$
 $a_1 : \{ a_1 \}$
 $a_2 : \{ a_2 \}$

