

CSCE 750
Fenner<https://cse.sc.edu/~fenner/csc750>Algorithms $\longleftrightarrow$ Data StructuresDef: $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is
asymptotically positive
(eventually positive) if

$$\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq n_0, f(n) > 0.$$

Ex: $2x - 10$ is asymptotically positive
 $n_0 := 6$ ($n_0 > 5$).Def: $f, g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ asympt.
pos. (1) Say that $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$ $[f(n) = \mathcal{O}(g(n))]$ if

$$\exists c_0, \exists n_0 \forall n \geq n_0, f(n) \leq c_0 g(n).$$

Think: $\mathcal{O} \text{ --- } \leq$

$$(2) f \in \Omega(g) \quad [f(n) = \Omega(g(n))]$$

$$\text{A } \exists c > 0, \exists n_0 \forall n \geq n_0, f(n) \geq c g(n)$$

Think $\Omega \text{ --- } \geq$ Fact: $f \in \mathcal{O}(g)$ iff $g \in \Omega(f)$ Use asymptotic growth rates
to measure resource (time, memory)
usage of algorithms.Def: $f \in \Theta(g)$

$$[f(n) = \Theta(g(n))]$$
 if

$$f \in \mathcal{O}(g) \text{ and } f \in \Omega(g).$$

 $\Theta \text{ --- } =$ (equals)"f & g are asymptotically
similar."

Don't care about constant factors.

Why? Want (machine)
(computer)
(programming)measure the algo itself, not
a particular implementation.
Also, asymptotic analysis is
just easier!

Don't care about small input size

(small values of n)
anything runs fast on small
inputs — not enough to distinguish
between good & bad algos.

$$\text{Ex: } 3n^2 + 10n - 20 = \Theta(n^2)$$

Proof:

$$(1) 3n^2 + 10n - 20 = \mathcal{O}(n^2)$$

$$3n^2 + 10n - 20 \leq 4n^2$$

$$\text{iff } n^2 \geq 10n - 20.$$

$$\text{True if } n^2 \geq 10n,$$

$$\text{iff } n \geq 10 \quad \text{set } n_0 := 10$$

$$(2) 3n^2 + 10n - 20 \geq 2n^2$$

$$\text{True iff } n^2 + 10n - 20 \geq 0$$

$$n^2 + 10n \geq 20$$

$$\text{if } n^2 \geq 20$$

$$\text{iff } n \geq \sqrt{20} \quad n_0 := 5$$

$$\therefore 2n^2 \leq 3n^2 + 10n - 20 \leq 4n^2$$

for all $n \geq 10$.

$$\therefore 3n^2 + 10n - 20 = \Theta(n^2)$$

Two basic techniques:

Analyzing sums
for algs that have loops.

Solving recurrences
for recursive algorithms.

```

sum = 0;
for (i=1; i <= n; i *= 2)
    for (j=1; j <= i; j++)
        sum++;
    
```

Time = $\Theta(\# \text{ times sum is incremented})$

$$\text{Time} = \Theta\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\log_2 n} 2^k\right) = \Theta(n)$$

Versus

```

sum = 0;
for (i=1; i <= n; i *= 2)
    for (j=i; j <= n; j++)
        sum++;
    
```

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Time} &= \Theta\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\log_2 n} (n-i+1)\right) \\
 &= \Theta\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\log_2 n} n - \sum_{k=0}^{\log_2 n} 2^k - \sum_{k=0}^{\log_2 n} 1\right) \\
 &= \underbrace{\Theta(n \log_2 n)}_{\text{dominant}} - \Theta(n) - \Theta(\log_2 n) \\
 &= \Theta(n \log_2 n) \neq \Theta(n)
 \end{aligned}$$

Sums:

Arithmetic sums:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k^c \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} c \in \mathbb{R} \\ c \geq 0 \\ \text{constant} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k = \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \Theta(n^2)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} k^c = \Theta(n^{c+1}) = \sum_{k=1}^n k^c$$

Proof: Upper bound: ($c \geq 0$)

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^c \leq \sum_{k=1}^n n^c = n \cdot n^c = n^{c+1} \quad \therefore \sum = O(n^{c+1})$$

Lower bound:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n k^c \geq \sum_{k=\frac{n}{2}+1}^n k^c \geq \sum_{k=\frac{n}{2}+1}^n \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^c$$

$$\geq \left(\frac{n}{2}\right) \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^c = \frac{n^{c+1}}{2^{c+1}}$$

$$= \left(\frac{1}{2^{c+1}}\right) n^{c+1} = \Omega(n^{c+1})$$

// lower bound