

Last time:
 Thm: Every infinite TREC set has an infinite decidable subset.
 Lemma: If E is an enumerator that prints strings in length monotone ascending order, then $L(E)$ is decidable.
 [i.e., if E prints x & later prints w , then $|x| \leq |w|$.]

Proof of the thm:
 Let L be TREC & infinite, let E be an enumerator for L . Define an enumerator E' as follows:
 $E' = \text{"On no input:}$
 1. Run E , recording all strings printed by E so far in a separate list ℓ .
 2. Whenever E prints a string w , check if $|w| \geq \max\{|x| : x \text{ is in } \ell\}$.
 If so, print w , and add w to ℓ .
 3. Continue simulating E ."

Note: $L(E') \subseteq L(E)$
 E' prints strings in length monotone ascending order.
 $\therefore L(E')$ is decidable by the lemma.

3) $L(E')$ is infinite:
 Infinitely often, E prints a string longer than any string it has printed so far. E' will print that string. So E' prints inf many different strings. $\therefore L(E')$ is infinite. \square

Prop: Let $f: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that, for every TM M , $f(\langle M \rangle) = s \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if M accepts ϵ , then M does so without scanning cell s .

M only scans cells if M accepts ϵ .

[otherwise $f(\langle M \rangle)$ could be anything].
 No such f is computable.
 Proof: Assume otherwise: that there is such an f that is computable, then we can decide $A_{E, TM}$ using f as a subroutine. \Rightarrow (show $A_{E, TM}$ is undecidable).
 Consider the following TM
 $D = \text{"On input } \langle M \rangle \text{ where } M \text{ is a TM:}$
 1. Let $s := f(\langle M \rangle)$
 2. Run M on input ϵ until one of the following occurs:
 a) M accepts ϵ . Then accept [D accepts $\langle M \rangle$].
 b) M scans cell s . Then reject. [D rejects $\langle M \rangle$].

$D :=$ "On input $\langle m \rangle$ where M is a TM:

1. Let $s = f(\langle m \rangle)$
2. Run M on input ϵ until one of the following occurs:

a) M accepts ϵ . Then accept [D accepts $\langle m \rangle$]

b) M scans cell s . Then reject. [D rejects $\langle m \rangle$]

[correct by the assumptions on s : If M scans cell s on input ϵ , then M does not accept ϵ .]

c) If M rejects ϵ , then reject.

d) If M repeats a configuration before (a,b,c) above, then reject.

[If M repeats a config, then M loops not accepting ϵ .]

[If (a,b,c) don't happen, then (d) must happen, because there are only finitely many (depending on $\langle m \rangle$) possible configs of M , so M must repeat a config, thus loop, not accepting ϵ .]

[D must keep track of M 's complete computation on input ϵ .]

D halts for all $\langle m \rangle$, and accepts iff $\langle m \rangle \in A_{E, TM}$ [i.e., M accepts ϵ].

$\therefore D$ decides $A_{E, TM}$
 $\therefore f$ is not computable, $\forall \lambda$

Reducibility

Def: Let A & B be languages (over Σ^*).

Say that A mapping-reduces (m-reduces) to B (written $A \leq_m B$)

if there exists a computable function $f: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Sigma^*$ such that, for all $w \in \Sigma^*$,

$$w \in A \iff f(w) \in B.$$

f is called a mapping reduction (m-reduction) from A to B , sometimes written $f: A \leq_m B$.

Thm: Let $A, B \subseteq \Sigma^*$ and suppose $A \leq_m B$.

Then:

- 1) If B is decidable, then A is decidable.
- 2) If B is T-rec, then A is T-rec.

[" A is no harder than B "]

Proof: Let f be an m-reduction from A to B .
 f is computable and $\forall w \in \Sigma^*$
 $w \in A \iff f(w) \in B$.

(1) Suppose B is decidable.
 Decider for A :
 $D :=$ "On input w :
 1. Let $x := f(w)$.
 [ok because f is computable]
 2. If $x \in B$ then accept;
 [ok because B is decidable]
 else reject."

D is a decider, and for all $w \in \Sigma^*$:
 $w \in A \iff f(w) \in B$
 $\iff x \in B$
 $\iff D$ accepts w .

Thus $L(D) = A$, that is D decides A . // (1)

For (2):
 Suppose B is T-rec.
 Let M be a TM such that $B = L(M)$. Let TM
 $N :=$ "On input w :
 1. Let $x := f(w)$.
 2. Run M on input x "
 → [do what M does]
 optimal

For all $w \in \Sigma^*$
 $w \in A \iff \underbrace{f(w) \in B}_{(f \text{ is m-reduction } A \text{ to } B)} \iff x \in B$
 $\iff M$ accepts x
 $\iff N$ accepts w

$\therefore A = L(N)$.
 $\therefore A$ is T-rec. // (2) \square

Cor: If $A \leq_m B$ and A is undecidable, then B is undecidable & if A is not T-rec, then B is not T-rec.

Ex: $A_{TM} \leq_m A_{\epsilon TM}$
 [Cor: $A_{\epsilon TM}$ is undecidable]
 Let f be the following function:
 $f :=$ "On input $\langle M, w \rangle$
 where M is a TM and w is a string:
 1. Let TM
 $R :=$ "On input x :
 a) Run M on input w "
 2. Output $\langle R \rangle$ "

Then as before
 $\langle M, w \rangle \in A_{TM} \iff$
 $f(w) \in A_{\epsilon TM}$
 $\langle R \rangle$

$\therefore A_{TM} \leq_m A_{\epsilon TM}$.

If w is not the form $\langle M, w \rangle$ (M TM, w string), then f outputs some fixed string $x_0 \notin A_{\epsilon TM}$.
 Can assume this tacitly from now on.

Prop: The \leq_m -relation on languages is reflexive ($A \leq_m A$) and transitive ($A \leq_m B$ & $B \leq_m C \implies A \leq_m C$)
f g g.f