## Answers to the CSCE 551 Final Exam, April 30, 2008

1. (15 points) Use the Pumping Lemma to show that the language

 $L = \{x \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid \text{the number of 0s and 1s in } x \text{ differ (in either direction) by at most 2008}\}$  is not regular.

**Answer:** Given p > 0, let  $s = 0^p 1^{p+2008}$ . Clearly,  $|s| = 2p + 2008 \ge p$  and  $s \in L$ . If x, y, z are such that xyz = s,  $|xy| \le p$ , and |y| > 0, then we must have  $y = 0^m$  for some m > 0. Letting i = 0, we remove m zeros to get

$$xy^i z = xy^0 z = xz = 0^{p-m} 1^{p+2008} \notin L.$$

Thus by the Pumping Lemma, L is not regular. (There are many other workable choices of s and i.)

2. (10 points) The following DFA is not minimum:



Using any method you like, find the minimum equivalent DFA. (Draw its transition diagram.)

**Answer:** States A and C are the only pair of indistinguishable states. Combining them, we get the minimum DFA:



3. (15 points) Give an m-reduction from  $A_{\rm TM}$  to the language

 $B = \{ \langle R \rangle \mid R \text{ is a TM that accepts a string } w \text{ iff } |w| = 2008 \}.$ 

Answer: Let

f = "On input  $\langle M, w \rangle$  where M is a TM and w is a string:

- (a) Let R = 'On input x:
  - i. If  $|x| \neq 2008$  then reject
  - ii. Simulate M on w (and do what M does)'
- (b) Output  $\langle R \rangle$ "

Then f m-reduces  $A_{\rm TM}$  to B.

- 4. (25 points total) Fix some enumerator E. Let A be the language of all strings w such that
  - E prints w at least once, and
  - w is at least as long as any string that E prints before it first prints w.

Show:

- (a) (15 points) A is decidable.
- (b) (10 points) If E enumerates an infinite language, then A is infinite.

[This proves that every infinite Turing recognizable set has an infinite decidable subset.]

**Answer:** Let *L* be the language enumerated by *E*. It follows from the definition that  $A \subseteq L$ .

- (a) There are two cases:
  - L is finite: Then A is also finite. Since every finite language is decidable (regular even), then A is decidable.
  - L is infinite: Let D implement the following algorithm:

"On input w:

- i. Run E until it either prints w or it prints some string longer than w, whichever comes first
- ii. If E prints w, then accept
- iii. If E prints a string longer than w, then reject"

Since E enumerates an infinite language (by assumption), it must print strings that are arbitrarily long. Thus on any input w, Step (i) will eventually complete, and so D is a decider. It is also straightforward to see that  $w \in A$  iff D accepts w. Thus D decides A, and so A is decidable.

[Note that in general we cannot compute, given  $\langle E \rangle$ , which case holds—finite or infinite. This question is undecidable, but it does not affect the decidability of A.]

- (b) Assume that L is infinite. For any length  $n \ge 0$ , there are only finitely many strings of length  $\le n$ , and so E must eventually print a string longer than n. The *first* such string printed by E is in A (clearly). So A contains a string longer than n. Since n is arbitrary, A must contain arbitrarily long strings, so A must be infinite.
- 5. (10 points) Prove that if  $TQBF \in NP$ , then NP = PSPACE.

**Answer:** We already know that NP  $\subseteq$  PSPACE (this was proven in class), so it suffices to show that PSPACE  $\subseteq$  NP. Let A be any language in PSPACE. We know that TQBF is PSPACE-complete, so in particular, TQBF is PSPACE-hard, which means  $A \leq_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{p}}$  TQBF because  $A \in$  PSPACE. Now suppose that TQBF  $\in$  NP. We proved in class that for any languages C and D, if  $C \leq_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{p}} D$  and  $D \in$  NP, then  $C \in$  NP. In this case, we have  $A \leq_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{p}}$  TQBF and TQBF  $\in$  NP (by assumption), and thus  $A \in$  NP. Since A was any PSPACE language, this shows that PSPACE  $\subseteq$  NP.

6. (15 points) Let

 $L = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a DFA that accepts } w^n \text{ for all } n \ge 0 \}.$ 

Show that  $L \in \mathbf{P}$  by giving a polynomial-time decision procedure for L. (A high-level algorithm will suffice.)

## Answer: Let

N = "On input  $\langle M, w \rangle$  where M is a DFA and w is a string:

- (a) Let n be the number of states of M
- (b) For i := 0 to n 1 do
  - i. Run M on input  $w^i$
  - ii. If M rejects  $w^i$ , then reject
- (c) Accept"

Why this works: Clearly, N runs in polynomial time (quartic time, actually, although this is not optimal). It is also clear that if  $\langle M, w \rangle \in L$  then N accepts  $\langle M, w \rangle$ . But the converse is also true: Suppose  $M = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$ , where |Q| = n. Let  $q_0, q_1, q_2, \ldots$  be the sequence of states that M enters after reading inputs  $w^0, w^1, w^2, \ldots$ , respectively. That is, let  $q_i = \delta(q_0, w^i)$  for all  $i \geq 0$ , and note that  $q_{i+1} = \delta(q_i, w)$ . By its construction, N accepts  $\langle M, w \rangle$  if and only if  $\{q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{n-1}\} \subseteq F$ . Since M has only n states, by the Pigeon Hole Principle, there must be some  $0 \leq i < j \leq n$ such that  $q_i = q_j$  (this is similar to the proof of the Pumping Lemma). But then,  $q_{i+1} = q_{j+1}, q_{i+2} = q_{j+2}$ , etc. That is, we have a loop, which implies that all states in the sequence are in the set  $\{q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{n-1}\}$ . Thus N only needs to test whether these states are all in F.

7. (20 points total) Let  $\varphi$  be the quantified Boolean formula

 $(\exists x_1)(\forall x_2)(\exists x_3)[(x_1 \lor x_3) \land (x_2 \lor \overline{x_3}) \land (\overline{x_1} \lor \overline{x_2})].$ 

(a) (15 points) Give the instance  $\langle G, s \rangle$  of GG that  $\varphi$  maps to via the p-m-reduction of TQBF to GG described either in the book or in class. (Draw the digraph G and label the start vertex s.)

## Answer:



(b) (5 points) Is  $\varphi$  true?

**Answer:** No,  $\varphi$  is false. For any truth value Alice picks for  $x_1$ , Bob picks the same truth value for  $x_2$ , which guarantees that at least one clause is violated, regardless of Alice's choice of  $x_3$ . This shows that Bob has a winning strategy, not Alice.