

IoT Water Level Monitoring System for High-Hazard Dams

Corinne Smith¹, John McCain³, Austin R.J. Downey^{1,2}, Jasim Imran² ¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of South Carolina, Columbia SC ²Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of South Carolina, Columbia SC ³Dam Safety Program, South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control, Columbia SC



UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH CAROLINA

Background

- Traditional gages are large and permanent
- Tend to be more expensive
- There is a need for a low-cost and easy to deploy sensors for state regulated dams
- South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control Dam Safety Program (DSP) has been working on an open-sourced IoT water level satellite sensor
 - radar sensor communication

& datalogger

mounting

Data Collection and Transfer



- DSP design goals:
 - Less than \$1,000 per unit
 - Flexible deployment
 - locations
 - Quickly deployable
 - Real-time monitoring capabilities
 - Email alerts
 - Multiple sensing methods
- brackets Figure 1. USGS Rapidly-Deployable Gage (RDG).
- Subscribe = data from GUI to sensor Ο • User can control and view data from remote monitoring system from GUI • Real-time monitoring is achieved • Basic logic of the system:

Internet of Things (IoT) via cellular shield

• MQTT uses publish/subscribe procedure

 \circ Publish = data from sensor to GUI

- 1) User initializes sampling rate and current water elevation in GUI
- System receives parameters from MQTT broker and waits until the user toggles the deploy switch on
- System collects data and publishes to MQTT 3) broker, which displays data on GUI

Hardware

- Based on Arduino open-source hardware and software
- Uses cellular connectivity to Internet of Things
- Measures water level with ultrasonic sensor and an in-water pressure transducer
 - Allows contacted and contactless readings
- Custom printed circuit boards (PCBs) allow compact design



Testing and Results



• Powered by 12V Li+ battery charged by solar panel • Small, portable, waterproof housing



Figure 2. Internal components of remote monitoring system.

datetime Figure 4. Field test at Saluda Riverwalk, Columbia, SC.

- Hydrodynamic test area to verify ability to capture changing water levels
- Validated by USGS gage and a commercial water level logger (HOBO)
- Both sensors remain relatively consistent
- Pressure sensor appears to experience high drift • Data sent consistently to MQTT broker

datetime Figure 5. Field test on Spring Lake Dam, Richland County, SC.

- Dam test area to verify application to dams, which experience much lower water level fluctuation
- No USGS gages to validate readings, so sensor data is compared to rain gauge data
- Readings are generally consistent with one another
- Pressure transducer was tampered with during deployment, causing sudden drop until recalibration







- CoCoRHAS. "Daily Precipitation Report for SC-RC-139". Colorado Climate Center, Retrieved 18 July 2022 from https://www.cocorahs.org/ViewData/ViewDailyPrecipReport.aspx?DailyPrecipReportID=f780e58e-d180-40dc-b3b5c8a8659ad7f4
- United States Geological Survey. "USGS 02169000 SALUDA RIVER NEAR COLUMBIA, SC." National Water Information System, Dominion Energy, 14 July 2022, https://waterdata.usgs.gov/usa/nwis/uv?02169000.
- GitHub: Smith, C., Lovett, P., McCain, J., & Downey, A. (2022). IOT-Cellular-Dam-Water-Level-Sensor [GitHub Repository]. https://github.com/smitty444/IoT-Cellular-Dam-Water-Level-Sensor